

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Masumitsu Ino	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/678,459 10/03/2000			09792909-4645	4568
		590 03/13/2003			
	David R Metzger Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal Post Office Box 061080			EXAMINER	
				EVANS, GEOFFREY S	
	Wacker Drive S Chicago, IL 60	Station Sears Tower 0606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			\	1725 DATE MAILED: 03/13/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{L}}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/678,459	INO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .			
	Geoffrey S Evans	1725			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover she t with the	correspond nce address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 D	<u>ecember 2002</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>B</i> Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	, ,			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		OVEG by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arrimor.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C. & 119/	a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under do d.d.d. 3 110(a) (a) or (i).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur	ity documents have been receiv				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	_				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,459

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The claims recited are directed toward an apparatus and not a product.

The following title is suggested: "LASER ANNEALING APPARATUS".

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 11,12, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kudo in U.S. Patent No. 5,496,768 in view of Ko in Japan Patent No.

Application/Control Number: 09/678,459

Art Unit: 1725

2-42,717 and Noguchi et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,529,951. Kudo discloses laser annealing with an intermittently applied scanning laser beam having a scanning pitch. Kudo's square beam is considered to be "band shaped". Ko teaches laser annealing with the scanning pitch should be such that the overlapped portions are in areas between the thin film transistors. Noguchi et al. teaches apparatus for laser annealing that can be used with overlapped or non-overlapped portions. It would have been obvious to adapt Kudo in view of Ko and Noguchi et al. to provide this to ensure annealing of the portions of the workpiece having thin film transistors.

Page 3

- 5. Claims 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kudo in U.S. Patent No. 5,496,768 in view of Ko in Japan Patent No. 2-42,717 and Noguchi et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,529,951 as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Kawashima in U.S. Patent No. 5,528,372. Kawshima teaches using an alignment mark for a semiconductor scanning process. It would have been obvious to adapt Kudo in view of Ko, Noguchi et al. and Kawashima to provide this to ensure the laser beam scans over the correct areas of the workpiece.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey S Evans whose telephone number is (703)-

Art Unit: 1725

308-1653. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30AM to 4:00 PM, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (703)-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0661.

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

GSE March 8, 2003